Appendix D. Alternative (p, δ) Values

In this section we repeat the exercises generating Panel C in each of the main tables using alternative parameter values $(p, \delta) \in [0, 1]^2$. We check robustness to a number of values but, in particular, we present results for four points: (0.1, 0.1), (0.1, 0.8), (0.8, 0.1), and (0.8, 0.8).

			1 unei	A. (0.1, 0.1)				
Degree	-0.0391***			-0.0377***	-0.0176***			-0.0131***
	(0.0012)			(0.0013)	(0.0008)			-(0.0011)
Clustering		-0.227***		-0.205***		-0.0595***		-0.0649***
		(0.0176)		(0.0119)		(0.0109)		(0.0089)
Eigenvector Centrality			-0.839***	-0.0942**			-0.542***	-0.211***
			(0.0431)	(0.0435)			(0.0283)	(0.0356)
R-squared	0.481	0.053	0.161	0.531	0.879	0.84	0.878	0.888
			Panel	B: (0.1, 0.8)				
Degree	-0.0042***			-0.0053***	-0.0011***			-0.0014***
	(0.0003)			(0.0004)	(0.0003)			(0.0004)
Clustering		-0.0059**		-0.0149***		0.0006		-0.0025
		(0.0024)		(0.0024)		(0.0019)		(0.0020)
Eigenvector Centrality			-0.0091	0.0870***			-0.0196***	0.0149*
			(0.0065)	(0.0106)			(0.0044)	(0.0089)
R-squared	0.095	0.001	0.000	0.118	0.281	0.278	0.279	0.281
			Panel	C: (0.8, 0.1)				
Degree	-0.0345***			-0.0308***	-0.0135***			-0.0076***
	(0.0017)			(0.0016)	(0.0009)			(0.0013)
Clustering		-0.332***		-0.289***		-0.153***		-0.145***
		(0.0197)		(0.0167)		(0.0127)		(0.0122)
Eigenvector Centrality			-0.954***	-0.280***			-0.531***	-0.298***
			(0.0478)	(0.0525)			(0.0310)	(0.0461)
R-squared	0.333	0.101	0.185	0.440	0.867	0.861	0.879	0.892
			Panel	D: (0.8,0.8)				
Degree	-0.0170***			-0.0150***	-0.0082***			-0.0055***

Table D.2: The Correlation between Household Network Characteristics and the Error Rate in Ranking Income Status of Households (4)

Panel A: (0.1, 0.1)

(5)

(8)

(0.0013)

-0.0573***

(0.0105)

-0.136***

(0.0391)

0.308

Notes: This table provides estimates of the correlation between a household's network characteristics and its ability to accurately rank the poverty status of other members of the village. The sample comprises 5,633 households. Each panel presents results using simulated data with different parameter values: Panel A has parameter values (0.1, 0.1), Panel B has parameter values (0.1, 0.8), Panel C has parameter values (0.8, 0.1), and Panel D has parameter values (0.8,0.8). Standard errors are clustered by village and are listed in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

-0.473***

(0.0247)

0.071

No

(0.0008)

-0.123***

(0.0103)

-0.155***

(0.0278)

0.160

No

(0.0009)

0.301

-0.0582***

(0.0107)

0.292

-0.286***

(0.0247)

0.304

Yes

(0.0008)

0.126

Clustering

R-squared

Eigenvector Centrality

-0.147***

(0.0115)

0.031

Table D.3: The Correlation Between Inaccuracy in Ranking a Pair of Households in a Village and the Average Distance to Rankees

a	nd the Average	Distance to Rank	ees	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Panel A	: (0.1, 0.1)		
Average Reachability	-0.894***	-0.683***	-0.636***	-0.701***
	(0.0157)	(0.0178)	(0.0208)	(0.0391)
Average Distance	0.161***	0.108***	0.110***	0.0728***
	(0.0116)	(0.0095)	(0.0089)	(0.0129)
Average Degree		-0.0222***	-0.0147***	-0.0169***
		(0.0011)	(0.0023)	(0.0024)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.133***	-0.140***	-0.0969***
		(0.0171)	(0.0210)	(0.0240)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		0.0556	-0.112*	-0.0302
		(0.0401)	(0.0659)	(0.0736)
R-squared	0.506	0.549	0.628	0.702
	Panel B	: (0.1, 0.8)		
Average Reachability	-0.0503***	-0.0137***	-0.0192***	-0.00791
	(0.0036)	(0.0035)	(0.0034)	(0.0053)
Average Distance	0.0172***	0.0086***	0.0071***	0.0035*
	(0.0018)	(0.0015)	(0.0016)	(0.0018)
Average Degree		-0.0062***	-0.0045***	-0.0050***
··· <i>o</i> · · · · <i>o</i>		(0.0005)	(0.0007)	(0.0008)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.0101**	-0.0063*	-0.0078*
ge crastering coefficient		(0.0040)	(0.0032)	(0.0040)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		0.0913***	0.0598***	0.0607***
Average Eigenvector Centrality		(0.0131)	(0.0141)	(0.0157)
R-squared	0.018	0.050	0.105	0.388
	Panel C	: (0.8, 0.1)		
Average Reachability	-1.022***	-0.868***	-0.830***	-1.164***
Tronge Reachability	(0.0077)	(0.0097)	(0.0124)	(0.0418)
Average Distance	0.0290***	-0.0116***	-0.00200	-0.00763
Werage Distance	(0.0033)	(0.0032)	(0.0026)	(0.0054)
Avaraga Dagraa	(0.0033)	-0.0091***	-0.0088***	-0.0055***
Average Degree				
A Classia Careffician		-(0.0005)	-(0.0018)	-(0.0015)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.127***	-0.167***	-0.0602***
		(0.0115)	(0.0172)	(0.0143)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		-0.221***	-0.350***	-0.0936*
		(0.0188)	(0.0625)	(0.0549)
R-squared	0.854	0.871	0.885	0.932
		: (0.8, 0.8)		
Average Reachability	-0.479***	-0.411***	-0.390***	-0.516***
	(0.0058)	(0.0085)	(0.0117)	(0.0197)
Average Distance	0.0261***	0.0085*	0.0147**	0.00389
	(0.0043)	(0.0046)	(0.0062)	(0.0034)
Average Degree	-	-0.0042***	-0.0037***	-0.0027***
		(0.0004)	(0.0010)	(0.0008)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.0670***	-0.0834***	-0.0338***
<i>G G 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</i>		(0.0066)	(0.0090)	(0.0080)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		-0.0798***	-0.144***	-0.0475
ge Eigenvector Contrainty		(0.0137)	(0.0341)	(0.0295)
R-squared	0.333	0.339	0.353	0.913
Physical Controls	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Village FE	No	No	Yes	No
Ranker FE	No	No	No	Yes

Notes: This table provides an estimate of the correlation between the accuracy in ranking a pair of households in a village and the characteristics of the households that are being ranked. The outcome variables are whether i ranks j versus k incorrectly in the simulated data. Each panel presents results using simulated data with different parameter values, as described in Appendix D: Panel A has parameter values (0.1, 0.1), Panel B has parameter values (0.1, 0.8), Panel C has parameter values (0.8, 0.1), and Panel D has parameter values (0.8, 0.8). Standard errors are clustered by village and are listed in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, *

Table D.4: Stochastic Dominance

	Table D.4: Stoc	hastic Dominand	ce	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Panel A:	(0.1, 0.1)		
I fosd J	-0.188***	-0.397***	-0.201***	-0.400***
	(0.0168)	(0.0241)	(0.0168)	(0.0236)
J fosd I	0.213***		0.228***	
	(0.0187)		(0.0172)	
Observations	179,101	130,739	179,101	130,739
	Panel B:	(0.1, 0.8)		
I fosd J	-0.199***	-0.428***	-0.213***	-0.433***
	(0.0170)	(0.0255)	(0.0167)	(0.0243)
J fosd I	0.235***		0.253***	
	(0.0189)		(0.0178)	
Observations	179,101	130,739	179,101	130,739
	Panel C.	(0.8, 0.1)		
I fosd J	-0.117***	-0.256***	-0.127***	-0.258***
	(0.0181)	(0.0264)	(0.0175)	(0.0256)
J fosd I	0.135***		0.142***	
	(0.0194)		(0.0189)	
Observations	179,101	130,739	179,101	130,739
	Panel D.	(0.8, 0.8)		
I fosd J	-0.110***	-0.242***	-0.129***	-0.258***
	(0.0185)	(0.0257)	(0.0173)	(0.0250)
J fosd I	0.129***	, ,	0.144***	, ,
	(0.0183)		(0.0180)	
Observations	179,101	130,739	179,101	130,739
Non-Comparable	Yes	No	Yes	No
Physical Controls	No	No	Yes	Yes
Stratification Group FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: In these regressions, the outcome variable is a dummy for whether the error rate of village I exceeds the error rate of village J averaged over simulations. When included, physical controls are differences between the standard controls for villages I and J. Each panel presents results using simulated data with different parameter values, as described in Appendix D: Panel A has parameter values (0.1, 0.1), Panel B has parameter values (0.1, 0.8), Panel C has parameter values (0.8, 0.1), and Panel D has parameter values (0.8, 0.8). Standard errors in parentheses, two-way clustered at I and J. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Average Degree	-0.0425***	Panel A:	(0.1, 0.1)				0.0364**
Average Degree	(0.0043)						(0.0139)
Average Clustering	(-0.422***					0.364***
		(0.0682)					(0.105)
Number of Households			0.0000				0.0000
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix			(0.0003)	-0.0384***			(0.0005) -0.0491***
That Engenvalue of Fulgacency Francisc				(0.0040)			(0.0074)
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component					-0.478***		-0.591***
III D. S					(0.0597)	0.552***	(0.0840)
Link Density						-0.552*** (0.108)	-0.275* (0.149)
						(0.100)	(0.14))
R-squared	0.603	0.536	0.489	0.639	0.588	0.526	0.681
		Panel B:	(0.1, 0.8)				
Average Degree	-0.0086***						-0.0140***
Average Clustering	(0.0009)	-0.0647***					(0.0033) 0.0530***
Average Clustering		(0.0112)					(0.0144)
Number of Households		(0.0.1.2)	0.0000				0.0000
			(0.0001)				(0.0000)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix				-0.0070***			-0.0008
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component				(0.0008)	-0.0501***		(0.0017) 0.0328**
raction of redes in Glant Component					(0.0066)		(0.0140)
Link Density					(/	-0.110***	0.0484
						(0.0206)	(0.0334)
R-squared	0.528	0.335	0.277	0.541	0.334	0.355	0.596
		Panel C:	(0.8, 0.1)				
Average Degree	-0.0335***						0.0673***
	(0.0051)						(0.0135)
Average Clustering		-0.378*** (0.0771)					0.512*** (0.160)
Number of Households		(0.0771)	0.0005				0.0000
			(0.0004)				(0.0006)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix				-0.0294***			-0.0504***
Enables of Nadas in City Community				(0.0044)	-0.543***		(0.0067)
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component					(0.0658)		-0.982*** (0.109)
Link Density					(0.0050)	-0.465***	-0.356**
•						(0.0983)	(0.151)
R-squared	0.554	0.524	0.493	0.569	0.603	0.514	0.672
	0.0157***	Panel D:	(0.8, 0.8)				0.0200
Average Degree	-0.0157*** (0.0024)						0.0289*** (0.0068)
Average Clustering	(0.0024)	-0.174***					0.234***
		(0.0369)					(0.0742)
Number of Households			0.0002				0.0000
ET . ET . I CAT			(0.0002)	0.0120****			(0.0003)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix				-0.0138*** (0.0021)			-0.0226*** (0.0033)
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component				(0.0021)	-0.247***		-0.435***
					(0.0306)		(0.0485)
Link Density						-0.217***	-0.158**
						(0.0482)	(0.0770)
R-squared	0.546	0.515	0.485	0.560	0.588	0.506	0.650

R-squared 0.546 0.515 0.485 0.560 0.588 0.506 0.650 Notes: This table provides village network characteristics and the error rate in ranking others in the village. Columns 1-6 show the univariate regressions, while column 7 provides the multvariate regressions. Physical covariates include consumption, education, PMT score, agricultural share, education of household head and RT head, urban dummy, stratification group FE, and inequality. The sample comprises 631 villages. Each panel presents results using simulated data with different parameter values, as described in Appendix D: Panel A has parameter values (0.1, 0.1), Panel B has parameter values (0.1, 0.8), Panel C has parameter values (0.8, 0.1), and Panel D has parameter values (0.8,0.8). Robust standard errors are in parentheses, *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

APPENDIX E. ALTERNATIVE OUTCOME VARIABLE DEFINITIONS

In this section we repeat the exercises of the main tables in the paper using a different outcome variable. Instead of defining an individual i's assessment of j versus k as incorrect if they refuse to report an answer to the comparison, we treat it as correct with probability 1/2 and incorrect with probability 1/2.

Table E.1: Outcome Variables

	Mean (1)	Standard Deviation (2)
Panel A	A: Village level	(-)
Error rate (consumption)	0.40	0.13
Error rate (self-assessment)	0.33	0.16
Panel B:	Household level	
Error rate (consumption)	0.40	0.10
Error rate (self-assessment)	0.33	0.12

Notes: Panel A provides information on the average level of competency in the village in assessing the poverty level of other members of the village in the 631 villages in the sample. Panel B provides equivalent sample statistics for the 5,633 households in the sample.

1	ible E.2A: The Correlation between	Household Network C	Inaracteristics and th	ie Error Rate in l	Kanking Incom	ie Status of Households

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		P	anel A: Error R	ate Based on Co	onsumption			
Degree	-0.0016***			-0.0012*	-0.0009**			-0.001
	(0.0006)			(0.0007)	(0.0004)			(0.0007)
Clustering		-0.0173**		-0.0121*		-0.0067		-0.0078
		(0.0069)		(0.0069)		(0.0047)		(0.0052)
Eigenvector centrality			-0.0490***	-0.0184			-0.0208	0.0052
			(0.0182)	(0.0247)			(0.0129)	(0.0209)
R-squared	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.562	0.562	0.562	0.563
		Par	nel B: Error Ra	te Based on Self	-Assessment			
Degree	-0.00402***			-0.0043***	-0.0017***			-0.0018**
	(0.0008)			(0.0010)	(0.0005)			(0.0008)
Clustering		-0.0198**		-0.0159*		0.0007		-0.0007
		(0.0087)		(0.0086)		(0.0061)		(0.0068)
Eigenvector centrality			-0.0583**	0.0285			-0.0344**	0.0052
			(0.0232)	(0.0325)			(0.0154)	(0.0264)
R-squared	0.015	0.001	0.002	0.016	0.563	0.562	0.562	0.563
			Panel C: Error	Rate Based on S	Simulation			
Degree	-0.0114***			-0.0103***	-0.0053***			-0.0035***
	(0.0005)			(0.0005)	(0.0004)			(0.0006)
Clustering		-0.0914***		-0.0780***		-0.0318***		-0.0313***
		(0.0065)		(0.0053)		(0.0053)		(0.0050)
Eigenvector centrality			-0.301***	-0.0858***			-0.182***	-0.0880***
			(0.0147)	(0.0162)			(0.0125)	(0.0192)
R-squared	0.227	0.048	0.115	0.278	0.490	0.472	0.493	0.499
Village Fixed Effect	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: This table provides estimates of the correlation between a household's network characteristics and its ability to accurately rank the poverty status of other members of the village. The sample comprises 5,633 households. The mean of the dependent variable in Panel A (a household's error rate in ranking others in the village based on consumption) is 0.40, while the mean of the dependent variable in Panel B (a household's error rate in ranking others in the village based on a household's own self-assessment of poverty status) is 0.33. Details of the simulation procedure for Panel C are contained in Appendix B. Standard errors are clustered by village and are listed in parentheses. *** p<0.01, *** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Table E.2B: The Correlation between Household Network Characteristics and the Error Rate in Ranking Income Status of Households, Controlling for Household Characteristics

-			Household Cha	aracteristics				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Panel A	: Error Rate Ba	sed on Consump	tion			
Degree	-0.0016***			-0.0013*	-0.0007			-0.0008
	(0.0006)			(0.0007)	(0.0004)			(0.0007)
Clustering		-0.0170**		0.0121*		-0.0067		-0.0076
		(0.0069)		(0.0069)		(0.0047)		(0.0052)
Eigenvector Centrality			-0.0472**	-0.0167			-0.0173	0.0049
			(0.0185)	(0.0249)			(0.0130)	(0.0208)
R-squared	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.007	0.564	0.564	0.564	0.564
		Panel B:	Error Rate Base	ed on Self-Assess	ment			
Degree	-0.0032***			-0.0034***	-0.0014***			-0.0015*
	(0.0008)			(0.0010)	(0.0005)			(0.0008)
Clustering		-0.0184**		-0.0152*		0.0008		-0.0007
		(0.0085)		(0.0086)		(0.0060)		(0.0068)
Eigenvector Centrality			-0.0491**	0.0201			-0.0257*	0.0072
			(0.0232)	(0.0328)			(0.0155)	(0.0263)
R-squared	0.024	0.017	0.017	0.025	0.566	0.565	0.565	0.566
		Panel (C: Error Rate B	ased on Simulat	ion			
Degree	-0.0113***			-0.0101***	-0.0053***			-0.0035***
	(0.0005)			(0.0005)	(0.0004)			(0.0006)
Clustering		-0.0920***		-0.0776***		-0.0325***		-0.0317***
		(0.0064)		(0.0054)		(0.0053)		(0.0050)
Eigenvector Centrality			-0.301***	-0.0903***			-0.181***	-0.0876***
			(0.0148)	(0.0163)			(0.0126)	(0.0191)
R-squared	0.228	0.067	0.132	0.28	0.49	0.474	0.494	0.5
Village FE	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: This table provides estimates of the correlation between a household's network characteristics and its ability to accurately rank the poverty status of other members of the village, controlling for household's characteristics. The sample comprises 5,630 households. The mean of the dependent variable in Panel A (a household's error rate in ranking others in the village based on consumption) is 0.40, while the mean of the dependent variable in Panel B (a household's error rate in ranking others in the village based on a household's own self-assessment of povert status) is 0.33. Details of the simulation procedure for Panel C are contained in Appendix B. Standard errors are clustered by village and are listed in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Table E.3: The Correlation Between the Accurately in Ranking a Pair of Households in a Village and the

Average Distance to Rankees

		Distance to Rankees	(2)	(4)
	(1)	(2) Consumption Metric	(3)	(4)
A D1 -1 :1:4	-0.0403***	-0.0379***	0.0025***	0.0220
Average Reachability			-0.0235***	-0.0339
A	(0.0112)	(0.0113)	(0.0082)	(0.0223)
Average Distance	0.0093	0.0059	0.0070*	0.0143*
	(0.0063)	(0.0059)	(0.0042)	(0.0077)
Average Degree		-0.0011	0.0020	0.0020
		(0.0010)	(0.0020)	(0.0020)
Average Clustering Coefficient		0.0144	0.0273*	0.026
		(0.0142)	(0.0164)	(0.0176)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		-0.0078	-0.0645	-0.0575
		(0.0350)	(0.0560)	(0.0570)
R-squared	0.001	0.003	0.052	0.091
	Panel B:	Self-Assessment Metric		
Average Reachability	-0.0688***	-0.0469***	-0.0254***	-0.0136
	(0.0138)	(0.0138)	(0.0089)	(0.0228)
Average Distance	0.0105	0.0079	0.0061	0.0091
	(0.0078)	(0.0073)	(0.0045)	(0.0084)
Average Degree		-0.0015	0.0014	0.0010
		(0.0013)	(0.0022)	(0.0023)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.0138	0.0032	0.0008
		(0.0165)	(0.0189)	(0.0196)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		0.042	-0.0105	-0.0161
		(0.0422)	(0.0607)	(0.0624)
R-squared	0.003	0.005	0.090	0.156
	Pane	el C: Simulation		
Average Reachability	-0.311***	-0.255***	-0.240***	-0.304***
	(0.0034)	(0.0044)	(0.0061)	(0.0109)
Average Distance	0.0340***	0.0204***	0.0225***	0.0159***
	(0.0029)	(0.0027)	(0.0033)	(0.0030)
Average Degree	` ,	-0.0038***	-0.0031***	-0.0028***
		(0.0003)	(0.0006)	(0.0006)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.0521***	-0.0609***	-0.0374***
		(0.0043)	(0.0061)	(0.0065)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		-0.0420***	-0.0775***	-0.0271
11. Jugo Ligon rotton Contrainty		(0.0077)	(0.0203)	(0.0199)
Physical Controls	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Village FE	No	No	Yes	No
Ranker FE	No	No	No	Yes
Notes: This table provides an estima				

Notes: This table provides an estimate of the correlation between the accuracy in ranking a pair of households in a village and the characteristics of the households that are being ranked. In Panel A, the dependent variable is a dummy variable for whether person i ranks person j versus person k correctly based on using consumption as the metric of truth (the sample mean is 0.40). In Panel B, the self-assessment variable is the metric of truth (the sample mean is 0.33). The sample is comprised of 155,751 ranked pairs in Panel A and 117,157 in Panel B. Details of the simulation procedure for Panel C are contained in Appendix B. Standard errors are clustered by village and are listed in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Table E.4: Stochastic Dominance

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Panel A: Cons	sumption Metric	, ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
I fosd J	-0.0251	-0.0131	-0.0655***	-0.0756**
	(0.0190)	(0.0300)	(0.0192)	(0.0295)
J fosd I	-0.0121		0.0238	
	(0.0186)		(0.0184)	
Observations	192,510	141,755	192,510	141,755
	Panel B: Self-A	ssessment Metric	c	
I fosd J	-0.0660***	-0.114***	-0.0585***	-0.0916***
	(0.0179)	(0.0270)	(0.0183)	(0.0272)
J fosd I	0.0519***		0.0483***	
	(0.0174)		(0.0178)	
Observations	192,510	141,755	192,510	141,755
	Panel C:	Simulation		
I fosd J	-0.131***	-0.297***	-0.154***	-0.319***
	(0.0180)	(0.0251)	(0.0172)	(0.0245)
J fosd I	0.165***		0.185***	
	(0.0185)		(0.0179)	
Observations	179,101	130,739	179,101	130,739
Non-Comparable	Yes	No	Yes	No
Physical Controls	No	No	Yes	Yes
Stratification Group FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: In these regressions, the outcome variable is a dummy for whether the error rate of village I exceeds the error rate of village J. When included, physical controls are differences between the standard controls for villages I and J. Details of the simulation procedure for Panel C are contained in Appendix B. Standard errors in parentheses are two-way clustered at I and J. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table E.5: Correlation between Village Network Characteristics and Village-Level Error Rate

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Panel A: Con	nsumption Metr	ic		-	
Average Degree	-0.000598						0.0122*
	(0.00218)						(0.00691)
Average Clustering		-0.0375					-0.0667
		(0.0368)					(0.0559)
Number of Households			-3.59e-05				1.65e-05
			(0.000205)				(0.000204)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix				-0.00187			-0.00646*
				(0.00167)			(0.00377)
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component					-0.0363		-0.0848**
					(0.0291)		(0.0412)
Link Density						0.0403	0.0803
						(0.0548)	(0.0822)
P. squared	0.126	0.128	0.126	0.128	0.130	0.127	0.144
R-squared	0.126	0.128	0.126	0.128	0.130	0.127	0.144
		Panel B: Self-	Assessment Met	ric			
Average Degree	-0.00503**	,					0.00306
	(0.00240)						(0.00897)
Average Clustering		-0.127***					-0.136**
		(0.0420)					(0.0617)
Number of Households			0.000532**				0.000321
			(0.000226)				(0.000241)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix				-0.00219			-0.00118
				(0.00164)			(0.00467)
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component					-0.0895***		-0.0332
					(0.0319)		(0.0505)
Link Density						-0.0924*	0.112
						(0.0536)	(0.102)
D. caucred	0.164	0.176	0.168	0.160	0.173	0.162	0.170
R-squared	0.104	0.170	0.108	0.100	0.175	0.102	0.170
		Panel C:	Simulation				
Average Degree	-0.0274***						0.0424***
	(0.0037)						(0.0100)
Average Clustering		-0.303***					0.295**
		(0.0570)					(0.113)
Number of Households			0.0003				0.0001
			(0.0003)				(0.0004)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix				-0.0243***			-0.0376***
				(0.0032)			(0.0051)
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component					-0.379***		-0.578***
-					(0.0478)		(0.0820)
Link Density						-0.381***	-0.261**
						(0.0768)	(0.113)
P. cauarad	0.592	0.544	0.506	0.603	0.606	0.524	0.670
Notes: This table provides village natural of	0.583	0.544	0.506		0.606	0.534	0.670

Notes: This table provides village network characteristics and the error rate in ranking others in the village. Columns 1-6 show the univariate regressions, while column 7 provides the multvariate regressions. Physical covariates include consumption, education, PMT score, agricultural share, education of household head and RT head, urban dummy, stratification group FE, and inequality. The sample comprises 631 villages. Panel A presents results for error rates using the consumption metric. Panel B presents results for error rates using the self-assessment metric. Panel C presents results for error rates using simulated data, as described in Appendix B. Robust standard errors are in parentheses, *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

APPENDIX F. TABLES WITHOUT PHYSICAL COVARIATES

In this section we repeat the exercises of the main tables but do not include physical covariates.

Table F.3: The Correlation Between Inaccuracy in Ranking a Pair of Households in a Village and the Average Distance to Rankees

		Distance to Rankees		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Consumption Metric		
Average Reachability	-0.154***	-0.0937***	-0.0780***	-0.0678**
	(0.0187)	(0.0184)	(0.0129)	(0.0283)
Average Distance	0.0215**	0.0084	0.0193***	0.0211**
	(0.0104)	(0.0098)	(0.0058)	(0.0099)
Average Degree		-0.0076***	0.0007	0.0002
		(0.0015)	(0.0026)	(0.0026)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.0047	0.0184	0.0165
		(0.0218)	(0.0220)	(0.0231)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		0.0611	-0.0701	-0.0789
		(0.0544)	(0.0723)	(0.0758)
R-squared	0.009	0.011	0.109	0.165
	Panel B: S	Self-Assessment Metric		
Average Reachability	-0.202***	-0.115***	-0.0862***	-0.0439
•	(0.0246)	(0.0239)	(0.0153)	(0.0328)
Average Distance	0.0249*	0.0087	0.0203***	0.0161
	(0.0132)	(0.0124)	(0.0072)	(0.0126)
Average Degree	` /	-0.0123***	-0.0009	-0.0018
		(0.0020)	(0.0031)	(0.0032)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.0258	-0.007	-0.0104
Trerage Glastering Coefficient		(0.0275)	(0.0273)	(0.0276)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		0.180**	0.0324	-0.0012
tverage Eigenvector Centrality		(0.0742)	(0.0907)	(0.0952)
R-squared	0.016	0.023	0.184	0.268
	Pane	l C: Simulation		
Average Reachability	-0.765***	-0.624***	-0.578***	-0.726***
	(0.0078)	(0.0103)	(0.0142)	(0.0290)
Average Distance	0.0938***	0.0583***	0.0594***	0.0419***
	(0.0064)	(0.0059)	(0.0069)	(0.0094)
Average Degree	` /	-0.0102***	-0.00793***	-0.00736***
		(0.0006)	(0.0016)	(0.0016)
Average Clustering Coefficient		-0.0987***	-0.113***	-0.0582***
Trenge chaptering coefficient		(0.0103)	(0.0143)	(0.0145)
Average Eigenvector Centrality		-0.124***	-0.199***	-0.0834
iverage Eigenvector Centrality		(0.0216)	(0.0507)	(0.0513)
R-squared	0.597	0.619	0.649	0.868
Physical Controls	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Village FE	No	No	Yes	Yes
Ranker FE	No	No	No	Yes

Notes: This table provides an estimate of the correlation between the accuracy in ranking a pair of households in a village and the characteristics of the households that are being ranked. In Panel A, the dependent variable is a dummy variable for whether person i ranks person j versus person k incorrectly based on using consumption as the metric of truth (the sample mean is 0.497). In Panel B, the self-assessment variable is the metric of truth (the sample mean is 0.464). In Panel C, the outcome variables are whether i ranks j versus k incorrectly in the simulated data, described in greater detail in Appendix B. The sample is comprised of 155,751 ranked pairs in Panel A, 117,157 in Panel B, and 139,420 in Panel C. Standard errors are clustered by village and are listed in parentheses. *** p<0.01, *** p<0.05, ** p<0.1.

Table F.5: Correlation between Village Network Characteristics and Village-Level Error Rate

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Panel A: Co	nsumption Me	tric			
Average Degree	-0.0176***						0.0318***
	(0.0024)						(0.0101)
Average Clustering		-0.319***					-0.325***
		(0.0368)					(0.0814)
Number of Households			0.0007***				0.0003
T T			(0.0003)				(0.0004)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix				-0.0151***			-0.0191***
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component				(0.0020)			(0.0052)
					-0.235***		-0.196***
1115					(0.0272)	0.000	(0.0605)
Link Density						-0.288***	0.150
						(0.0668)	(0.119)
D. covered	0.077	0.116	0.014	0.070	0.117	0.022	0.164
R-squared	0.077	0.116	0.014	0.079	0.117	0.033	0.164
		Panal R. Salf	Assessment M	atric			
Average Degree	-0.0276***	ине В. Зеу-	Assessment M	eiric			0.0294**
Average Degree	(0.0029)						(0.0124)
Average Clustering	(0.0029)	-0.495***					-0.476***
		(0.0431)					(0.106)
Number of Households		(0.0431)	0.0014***				0.0003
			(0.0003)				(0.0004)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix			(0.0003)	-0.0206***			-0.0165**
				(0.0025)			(0.0066)
Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component				(0.0020)	-0.355***		-0.219***
					(0.0319)		(0.0779)
Link Density					(0.00-27)	-0.524***	0.163
						(0.0816)	(0.148)
R-squared	0.115	0.170	0.029	0.090	0.161	0.066	0.198
		Danal C	Simulation				
Average Degree	-0.0328***	T unei C	Simulation				0.0846***
Average Degree	(0.0027)						(0.0100)
Average Clustering	(0.0027)	-0.389***					0.433***
		(0.0404)					(0.0895)
Number of Households		(0.0404)	0.0012***				0.0008**
			(0.0003)				(0.0003)
First Eigenvalue of Adjacency Matrix Fraction of Nodes in Giant Component			(0.0003)	-0.0330***			-0.0634***
				(0.0025)			(0.0063)
				(0.0025)	-0.422***		-0.770***
					(0.0278)		(0.0623)
Link Density					(0.0270)	-0.599***	-0.557***
Zink Denoity						(0.0775)	(0.115)
						(0.0770)	(0.115)
R-squared	0.198	0.124	0.025	0.269	0.267	0.107	0.470

Notes: This table provides village network characteristics and the error rate in ranking others in the village. Columns 1-6 show the univariate regressions, while column 7 provides the multvariate regressions. The sample comprises 631 villages. Panel A presents results for error rates using the consumption metric. Panel B presents results for error rates using the self-assessment metric. Panel C presents results for error rates using simulated data, as described in Appendix B. Robust standard errors in parentheses, *** p < 0.01, *** $p \le 0.01$.