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Figure A-1: Placebo: River as Boundary


Figure A-2: Alternative Bandwidths: Economic Outcomes During the Colonial Period


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-3: Alternative Bandwidths: Economic Outcomes (1969-1973)


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-4: Alternative Bandwidths: Civil Society


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-5: Alternative Bandwidths: Local Administration


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-6: Alternative Bandwidths: Public Goods


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-7: Alternative Bandwidths: Public Opinion


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-8: Alternative Bandwidths: Modern Outcomes


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-9: Alternative Bandwidths: Security


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-10: Alternative Bandwidths: Other Mechanisms


Notes: Each sub-figure plots the point estimates and confidence intervals of $\gamma$ (vertical axis) from equation (1) for different bandwidth values between 10-100 kilometers in 1 km increments (horizontal axis).

Figure A-11: Correlation Plots


Notes: Each point is an outcome averaged within a bin. The regression line is fit on the raw data.

Table A-1: Contemporary Household Consumption Including Panel Households

|  | Dependent variable is log household expenditure. Specification is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lat-Lon <br> (1) | Dist. <br> Bnd. <br> Polynomial <br> (2) | Lat-Lon \& Dist. <br> (3) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { Urban } \\ \text { HCMC } \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No <br> HCM <br> Prov. <br> (5) | No Prov. Cap. (6) | No River (7) | Only Bnd. (8) | Consist. Prov. FE (9) | Trim <br> For <br> Migr. <br> (10) | $\begin{gathered} 25 \text { to } \\ 100 \\ \mathrm{Km} \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | All SVN (12) |
| Dai Viet | $\begin{gathered} 0.299 \\ (0.050) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.230 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.249 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.302 \\ (0.050) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.288 \\ (0.071) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.291 \\ (0.053) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.294 \\ (0.060) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.281 \\ (0.070) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.389 \\ (0.060) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.252 \\ (0.047) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.309 \\ (0.080) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.348 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ |
| Obs | 5,539 | 5,539 | 5,539 | 4,462 | 3,296 | 3,690 | 4,612 | 927 | 5,539 | 5,446 | 8,734 | 32,848 |
| Clusters | 455 | 455 | 455 | 367 | 263 | 315 | 379 | 76 | 455 | 455 | 689 | 2686 |

The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-2: Contemporary Household Consumption Including Transfers

|  | Dependent variable is log household expenditure. Specification is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dist. | Lat-Lon | No | No | No |  |  | Consist. | Trim | 25 to |  |
|  | Lat-Lon | Bnd. | \& Dist. | Urban | HCM | Prov. | No | Only | Prov. | For | 100 | All |
|  |  | Polynomial |  | HCMC | Prov. | Cap. | Rive | Bnd. | FE | Migr. | Km | SVN |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.315 | 0.257 | 0.272 | 0.283 | 0.321 | 0.300 | 0.284 | 0.359 | 0.356 | 0.303 | 0.390 | 0.367 |
|  | (0.044) | (0.048) | (0.048) | (0.049) | (0.045) | (0.070) | (0.052) | (0.062) | (0.053) | (0.043) | (0.079) | (0.024) |
| Obs | 4,452 | 4,452 | 4,452 | 2,956 | 3,588 | 2,635 | 3,710 | 742 | 4,452 | 4,294 | 6,955 | 26,247 |
| Clusters | 450 | 450 | 450 | 312 | 362 | 258 | 374 | 76 | 450 | 450 | 670 | 2581 |

The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-3: 100 Kilometer Boundary Segment Fixed Effects

|  | Dependent variable is log household expenditure. Specification is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dist. | Lat-Lon | No | No | No |  |  | Consist. | Trim | 25 to |  |
|  | Lat-Lon | Bnd. | \& Dist. | Urban | HCM | Prov. | No | Only | Prov. | For | 100 | All |
|  |  | Polynomia |  | HCMC | Prov. | Cap. | Rive | Bnd. | FE | Migr. | Km | SVN |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.256 | 0.234 | 0.242 | 0.247 | 0.177 | 0.338 | 0.311 | 0.340 | 0.301 | 0.210 | 0.221 | 0.351 |
|  | (0.043) | (0.059) | (0.061) | (0.044) | (0.064) | (0.055) | (0.065) | (0.084) | (0.060) | (0.040) | (0.068) | (0.026) |
| Obs | 4,319 | 4,319 | 4,319 | 3,483 | 2,565 | 2,866 | 3,597 | 722 | 4,319 | 4,240 | 6,789 | 25,617 |
| Clusters | 450 | 450 | 450 | 362 | 258 | 312 | 374 | 76 | 450 | 450 | 670 | 2581 |

The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-4: 75 Kilometer Boundary Segment Fixed Effects


The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-5: 50 Kilometer Boundary Segment Fixed Effects


The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-6: 10 Kilometer Boundary Segment Fixed Effects

|  | Dependent variable is log household expenditure. Specification is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lat-Lon <br> (1) | Dist. <br> Bnd. <br> Polynomia <br> (2) | Lat-Lon \& Dist. <br> (3) | No Urban HCMC <br> (4) | No <br> HCM <br> Prov. <br> (5) | No Prov. Cap. (6) | No Rive (7) | Only Bnd. (8) | Consist. Prov. FE (9) | Trim <br> For <br> Migr. <br> (10) | $\begin{gathered} 25 \text { to } \\ 100 \\ \mathrm{Km} \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | All SVN <br> (12) |
| Dai Viet | $\begin{gathered} 0.310 \\ (0.059) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.217 \\ (0.062) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.225 \\ (0.062) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.351 \\ (0.058) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.235 \\ (0.061) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.413 \\ (0.061) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.311 \\ (0.065) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.340 \\ (0.084) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.397 \\ (0.059) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.267 \\ (0.056) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.331 \\ (0.087) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.351 \\ (0.026) \end{gathered}$ |
| Obs | 4,319 | 4,319 | 4,319 | 3,483 | 2,565 | 2,866 | 3,597 | 722 | 4,319 | 4,240 | 6,789 | 25,617 |
| Clusters | 450 | 450 | 450 | 362 | 258 | 312 | 374 | 76 | 450 | 450 | 670 | 2581 |

The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-7: Contemporary Household Consumption 2002-2008

|  | Dependent variable is log household expenditure. Specification is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dist. | Lat-Lon | No | No | No |  |  | Consist. | Trim | 25 to |  |
|  | Lat-Lon | Bnd. | \& Dist. | Urban | HCM | Prov. | No | Only | Prov. | For | 100 | All |
|  |  | Polynomial |  | HCMC | Prov. | Cap. | River | Bnd. | FE | Migr. | Km | SVN |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.420 | 0.334 | 0.361 | 0.407 | 0.338 | 0.389 | 0.405 | 0.373 | 0.499 | 0.379 | 0.319 | 0.347 |
|  | (0.072) | (0.076) | (0.079) | (0.073) | (0.088) | (0.074) | (0.086) | (0.094) | (0.082) | (0.067) | (0.101) | (0.032) |
| Obs | 3,011 | 3,011 | 3,011 | 2,411 | 1,806 | 1,958 | 2,501 | 510 | 3,011 | 2,986 | 5,174 | 19,109 |
| Clusters | 251 | 251 | 251 | 203 | 152 | 170 | 207 | 44 | 251 | 251 | 424 | 1592 |

The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-8: Contemporary Household Consumption 2010-2012

|  | Dependent variable is log household expenditure. Specification is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dist. | Lat-Lon | No | No | No |  |  | Consist. | Trim | 25 to |  |
|  | Lat-Lon | Bnd. | \& Dist. | Urban | HCM | Prov. | No | Only | Prov. | For | 100 | All |
|  |  | Polynomia |  | HCMC | Prov. | Cap. | Rive | Bnd. | FE | Migr. | Km | SVN |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.197 | 0.144 | 0.158 | 0.233 | 0.317 | 0.214 | 0.176 | 0.217 | 0.256 | 0.130 | 0.367 | 0.364 |
|  | (0.076) | (0.093) | (0.094) | (0.078) | (0.120) | (0.079) | (0.092) | (0.122) | (0.083) | (0.071) | (0.120) | (0.027) |
| Obs | 1,308 | 1,308 | 1,308 | 1,072 | 759 | 908 | 1,096 | 212 | 1,308 | 1,254 | 1,615 | 6,508 |
| Clusters | 282 | 282 | 282 | 231 | 165 | 195 | 237 | 45 | 282 | 282 | 358 | 1426 |

The unit of analysis is the household. Columns (1) and (3) through (11) include a linear polynomial in latitude and longitude, and columns (2) and (3) include a linear polynomial in distance to the boundary. All columns include a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, and year fixed effects. Columns (1) through (11) include boundary segment fixed effects, and column (9) includes consistent province fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-9: Household Expenditure: Placebo Boundaries

|  | Sample is: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Placebo Boundaries |  |  |
|  | River | Province | Expansion |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| Dai Viet | -0.080 | 0.091 | -0.060 |
|  | $(0.096)$ | $(0.109)$ | $(0.061)$ |
| Obs | 1,607 | 1,535 | 5,270 |
| Clusters | 165 | 160 | 397 |
| Mean | 9.06 | 8.84 | 8.58 |

The unit of analysis is the household. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, demographic controls for the number of infants, children, and adults in the household, year fixed effects, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered by village, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-10: Economic Outcomes 1969-1973 Controlling for Population

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Log |  | Non-rice | Manuf. | Surplus | Households | $\%$ HH | Land |  |  |
|  | Family | Econ | Food | Goods | Goods | Require | Access | Unfarmed | Pop |  |
|  | Income | LCA | Avail. | Avail. | Produced | Assist. | Vehic. | Bad Sec. | Growth |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |  |
| Dai Viet | 0.151 | 0.135 | 0.250 | 0.156 | 0.152 | -0.058 | 0.129 | 0.018 | -0.005 |  |
|  | $(0.044)$ | $(0.054)$ | $(0.058)$ | $(0.061)$ | $(0.050)$ | $(0.039)$ | $(0.019)$ | $(0.047)$ | $(0.006)$ |  |
| Obs | 5,926 | 2,285 | 388 | 388 | 388 | 2,330 | 2,332 | 330 | 2,276 |  |
| Clusters | 172 | 392 | 388 | 388 | 388 | 397 | 396 | 330 | 396 |  |
| Mean | 9.72 | 0.82 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.44 | 0.61 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.01 |  |

The unit of analysis is the household, hamlet, or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-11: Economic Outcomes 1969-1973: No Ho Chi Minh City

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Log |  | Non-rice | Manuf. | Surplus | Households | $\%$ HH | Land |  |  |
|  | Family | Econ | Food | Goods | Goods | Require | Access | Unfarmed | Pop |  |
|  | Income | LCA | Avail. | Avail. | Produced | Assist. | Vehic. | Bad Sec. | Growth |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |  |
| Dai Viet | 0.158 | 0.128 | 0.256 | 0.159 | 0.171 | -0.132 | 0.118 | 0.009 | -0.000 |  |
|  | $(0.041)$ | $(0.055)$ | $(0.060)$ | $(0.064)$ | $(0.052)$ | $(0.039)$ | $(0.019)$ | $(0.047)$ | $(0.006)$ |  |
| Obs | 5,915 | 1,557 | 327 | 327 | 327 | 1,551 | 1,553 | 324 | 1,532 |  |
| Clusters | 166 | 335 | 327 | 327 | 327 | 336 | 335 | 324 | 338 |  |
| Mean | 9.72 | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.01 |  |

The unit of analysis is the household, hamlet, or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-12: Economic Outcomes 1969-1973: No Provincial Capitals

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Log |  | Non-rice | Manuf. | Surplus | Households | \% HH | Land |  |
|  | Family | Econ | Food | Goods | Goods | Require | Access | Unfarmed | Pop |
|  | Income <br> (1) | LCA (2) | Avail. <br> (3) | Avail. <br> (4) | Produced <br> (5) | Assist. <br> (6) | Vehic. <br> (7) | Bad Sec. <br> (8) | Growth <br> (9) |
| Dai Viet | 0.158 | 0.119 | 0.274 | 0.169 | 0.183 | -0.122 | 0.115 | 0.003 | -0.001 |
|  | (0.041) | (0.054) | (0.059) | (0.063) | (0.052) | (0.040) | (0.019) | (0.047) | (0.006) |
| Obs | 5,913 | 1,506 | 313 | 313 | 313 | 1,501 | 1,503 | 309 | 1,481 |
| Clusters | 164 | 331 | 313 | 313 | 313 | 333 | 332 | 309 | 334 |
| Mean | 9.72 | 0.74 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.01 |

The unit of analysis is the household, hamlet, or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-13: Economic Outcomes Following Reunification

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Priv. | Phare | Paddy | Irrig. |
| Mechan. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land | Land | Land | Paddy | Paddy |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.119 | -0.124 | -0.113 | 0.067 | 0.244 |
|  | $(0.067)$ | $(0.067)$ | $(0.076)$ | $(0.037)$ | $(0.142)$ |
| Obs | 91 | 91 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| Clusters | 91 | 91 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| Mean | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 0.71 |

The unit of analysis is the district. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude and a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.

Table A-14: Civil Society: Controlling for Population

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civil Society | \% Households Particpate in |  | \% HH <br> Active | Self-Dev. <br> Project <br> Underway <br> (5) | Council Discusses Griev. <br> (6) | Org. Youth Activ. (7) | \% HH <br> Attend Govt. Mtgs. (8) | RD <br> Cadre in Hamlet <br> (9) | \% HH <br> Part. <br> RD Cadre <br> (10) | Households Civ. Soc. <br> Require Provides <br> Assistance  |  |
|  | LCA | Civic Org | Econ. Train. | in PSDF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.163 | 0.262 | 0.220 | 0.067 | 0.097 | -0.011 | -0.053 | 0.106 | 0.021 | 0.174 | -0.058 | 0.162 |
|  | (0.035) | (0.028) | (0.027) | (0.028) | (0.024) | (0.021) | (0.033) | (0.029) | (0.030) | (0.036) | (0.039) | (0.043) |
| Obs | 2,285 | 2,325 | 2,348 | 2,330 | 388 | 384 | 388 | 2,331 | 2,337 | 2,314 | 2,330 | 2,206 |
| Clusters | 392 | 397 | 399 | 397 | 388 | 384 | 388 | 397 | 397 | 396 | 397 | 388 |
| Mean | 0.76 | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.62 | 0.83 | 0.93 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 0.76 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.24 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-15: Civil Society: No Ho Chi Minh City

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civil Society | \% Households Particpate in |  | \% HH <br> Active | Self-Dev. <br> Project <br> Underway <br> (5) | Council Discusses Griev. <br> (6) | Org. Youth Activ. <br> (7) | \% HH <br> Attend Govt. Mtgs. (8) | RD Cadre in Hamlet <br> (9) | \% HH <br> Part. <br> RD Cadre <br> (10) | Households Civ. Soc. <br> Require Provides <br> Assistance  |  |
|  | LCA | Civic Org | Econ. Train. | in PSDF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.121 | 0.224 | 0.198 | 0.037 | 0.090 | -0.006 | -0.069 | 0.075 | -0.026 | 0.129 | -0.132 | 0.145 |
|  | (0.030) | (0.024) | (0.028) | (0.025) | (0.024) | (0.020) | (0.033) | (0.026) | (0.030) | (0.032) | (0.039) | (0.043) |
| Obs | 1,557 | 1,546 | 1,568 | 1,551 | 327 | 323 | 327 | 1,552 | 1,558 | 1,535 | 1,551 | 1,467 |
| Clusters | 335 | 336 | 338 | 336 | 327 | 323 | 327 | 336 | 336 | 335 | 336 | 331 |
| Mean | 0.68 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 0.74 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.18 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-16: Civil Society: No Provincial Capitals

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civil Society | \% Households Particpate in |  | \% HH <br> Active | Self-Dev. <br> Project <br> Underway <br> (5) | Council Discusses Griev. <br> (6) | Org. Youth Activ. (7) | \% HH <br> Attend Govt. Mtgs. (8) | RD <br> Cadre in Hamlet <br> (9) | \% HH <br> Part. <br> RD Cadre <br> (10) | Households Civ. Soc. <br> Require Provides <br> Assistance  |  |
|  | LCA | Civic Org | Econ. Train. | in PSDF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.119 | 0.226 | 0.208 | 0.031 | 0.087 | -0.005 | -0.063 | 0.076 | -0.030 | 0.125 | -0.122 | 0.149 |
|  | (0.031) | (0.024) | (0.027) | (0.025) | (0.024) | (0.020) | (0.033) | (0.027) | (0.030) | (0.032) | (0.040) | (0.043) |
| Obs | 1,506 | 1,496 | 1,517 | 1,501 | 313 | 309 | 313 | 1,502 | 1,508 | 1,485 | 1,501 | 1,416 |
| Clusters | 331 | 333 | 334 | 333 | 313 | 309 | 313 | 333 | 333 | 332 | 333 | 327 |
| Mean | 0.68 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.83 | 0.93 | 0.74 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.18 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-17: Local Administration: Controlling for Population

| Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local Admin. LCA (1) | Govt. Syst. <br> Taxes <br> (2) | Vilg. Comm. Filled (3) | Vilg. Chief | Hamlet Chief Present (5) | Police Regularly <br> (6) | Chief Controls RD Cadre (7) | Govt. Provides Assist. (8) | Lack Prov. Tech. Assist. (9) | Tech. <br> Pers. <br> Visit <br> (10) | Prov. Land <br> Affairs Visit <br> (11) |
| Dai Viet | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.036 \\ (0.017) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.058 \\ (0.036) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.057 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.053 \\ (0.033) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.024 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.131 \\ (0.046) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.084 \\ (0.020) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.143 \\ (0.047) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.010 \\ (0.031) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.008 \\ (0.048) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.002 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ |
| Obs | 2,285 | 388 | 388 | 388 | 2,317 | 2,339 | 382 | 2,221 | 387 | 386 | 308 |
| Clusters | 392 | 388 | 388 | 388 | 396 | 397 | 382 | 390 | 387 | 386 | 308 |
| Mean | 0.98 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.56 | 0.88 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.72 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-18: Local Administration: No Ho Chi Minh City

| Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local | Govt. | Vilg. | Vilg. | Hamlet | Police | Chief | Govt. | Lack | Tech. | Prov. Land |
|  | Admin. | Syst. | Comm. | Chief | Chief | Regularly | Controls | Provides | Prov. Tech. | Pers. | Affairs |
|  | LCA | Taxes (2) | Filled <br> (3) |  | Present <br> (5) |  | RD Cadre <br> (7) | Assist. (8) | Assist. <br> (9) | Visit <br> (10) | Visit <br> (11) |
| Dai Viet | $\frac{(1)}{0.034}$ | $\frac{(2)}{0.075}$ | $\frac{(3)}{0.052}$ | (4) 0.056 | $\frac{(5)}{0.021}$ | (6) 0.085 | $\frac{(7)}{0.075}$ | $\frac{(8)}{0.123}$ | $\frac{(9)}{-0.008}$ | $\frac{(10)}{-0.020}$ | $\frac{(11)}{0.008}$ |
|  | $(0.016)$ | $(0.036)$ | $(0.028)$ | (0.033) | (0.023) | (0.039) | (0.020) | (0.049) | (0.031) | $(0.046)$ | $(0.056)$ |
| Obs | 1,557 | 327 | 327 | 327 | 1,538 | 1,560 | 321 | 1,482 | 329 | 327 | 304 |
| Clusters | 335 | 327 | 327 | 327 | 335 | 336 | 321 | 333 | 329 | 327 | 304 |
| Mean | 0.97 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.36 | 0.87 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 0.73 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-19: Local Administration: No Provincial Capitals

| Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local Admin. LCA (1) | Govt. <br> Syst. <br> Taxes <br> (2) | Vilg. Comm. Filled (3) | Vilg. Chief | Hamlet Chief Present (5) | Police Regularly <br> (6) | Chief Controls RD Cadre (7) | Govt. Provides Assist. <br> (8) | Lack Prov. Tech. Assist. (9) | Tech. <br> Pers. <br> Visit <br> (10) | Prov. Land Affairs Visit (11) |
| Dai Viet | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.035 \\ (0.016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.075 \\ (0.037) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.054 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.058 \\ (0.034) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.017 \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.069 \\ (0.038) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.074 \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.134 \\ (0.048) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.008 \\ (0.030) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.011 \\ & (0.047) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.029 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ |
| Obs | 1,506 | 313 | 313 | 313 | 1,488 | 1,510 | 307 | 1,431 | 314 | 313 | 292 |
| Clusters | 331 | 313 | 313 | 313 | 332 | 333 | 307 | 329 | 314 | 313 | 292 |
| Mean | 0.97 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.34 | 0.87 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.51 | 0.73 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-20: Public Goods: Controlling for Population

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health <br> Care <br> LCA <br> (1) | Govt. <br> Med. Serv. <br> Avail. <br> (2) | Health Wkrs. Visit Reg. (3) | Health Mat. <br> Clinic <br> in Village |  | Educ. LCA (6) | PrimarySchool |  | Secondary |  | Attend. | Law |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Restr. | Enforced |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Access. | Completion | In Vilg. | Attend. | by Sec. | Day/Night |
|  |  |  |  | (4) | (5) |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.127 | 0.157 | 0.319 | 0.129 | -0.010 |  | 0.029 | 0.048 | 0.076 | 0.034 | 0.022 | -0.015 | 0.201 |
|  | (0.043) | (0.033) | (0.042) | (0.050) | (0.066) |  | (0.044) | (0.023) | (0.031) | (0.053) | (0.013) | (0.013) | (0.046) |
| Obs | 2,285 | 2,339 | 2,336 | 388 | 388 | 2,285 | 2,336 | 388 | 388 | 388 | 2,333 | 2,333 |
| Clusters | 392 | 397 | 397 | 388 | 388 | 392 | 396 | 388 | 388 | 388 | 396 | 397 |
| Mean | 0.86 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.79 | 0.61 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.61 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.79 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-21: Public Goods: No Ho Chi Minh City

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health <br> Care <br> LCA <br> (1) | Govt. <br> Med. Serv. Avail. <br> (2) | Health Wkrs. Visit Reg. (3) | Health Mat. <br> Clinic <br> in Village |  | Educ. LCA (6) | PrimarySchool |  | Secondary |  | Attend. | Law |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Restr. | Enforced |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Access. | Completion | In Vilg. | Attend. | by Sec. | Day/Night |
|  |  |  |  | (4) | (5) |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Dai Viet | 0.113 | 0.199 | 0.313 | 0.136 | 0.006 |  | 0.015 | 0.070 | 0.084 | 0.053 | 0.021 | -0.020 | 0.175 |
|  | (0.042) | (0.038) | (0.041) | (0.050) | (0.069) |  | (0.044) | (0.021) | (0.031) | (0.059) | (0.013) | (0.013) | (0.043) |
| Obs | 1,557 | 1,560 | 1,557 | 327 | 327 | 1,557 | 1,557 | 327 | 327 | 327 | 1,554 | 1,554 |
| Clusters | 335 | 336 | 336 | 327 | 327 | 335 | 335 | 327 | 327 | 327 | 335 | 336 |
| Mean | 0.80 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.77 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 0.59 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.69 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-22: Public Goods: No Provincial Capitals

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health <br> Care <br> LCA <br> (1) | Govt. <br> Med. Serv. <br> Avail. <br> (2) | Health Wkrs. Visit Reg. (3) |  |  | Educ. LCA <br> (6) | PrimarySchool |  | SecondarySchool |  | Attend. <br> Restr. | Law Enforced |
|  |  |  |  | Clinic in Village |  |  | Access. (7) | Completion <br> (8) | In Vilg. (9) | Attend. (10) | by Sec. <br> (11) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day/Night } \\ (12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.108 | 0.203 | 0.312 | 0.137 | -0.000 | 0.002 | 0.071 | 0.084 | 0.057 | 0.022 | -0.020 | 0.180 |
|  | (0.043) | (0.038) | (0.041) | $(0.052)$ | $(0.070)$ | (0.045) | (0.022) | (0.032) | (0.058) | (0.014) | (0.013) | (0.044) |
| Obs | 1,506 | 1,510 | 1,507 | 313 | 313 | 1,506 | 1,507 | 313 | 313 | 313 | 1,504 | 1,504 |
| Clusters | 331 | 333 | 333 | 313 | 313 | 331 | 332 | 313 | 313 | 313 | 332 | 333 |
| Mean | 0.80 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.76 | 0.56 | 0.74 | 0.89 | 0.59 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.68 |

The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-23: Public Opinion: Controlling for Population

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gvt. | Local | Knows Vilg | LTT | Natl. Gvt. |  | People | Active in | People |
|  | Responsive | Officials | Admin. | Fairly | Performs | Man. Econ. | Respons. | Interest | Decide |
|  | Citizens | Successful | Struct. Well | Administ. | Poorly | Poorly | Comm. Life | Group | SDP |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.113 | 0.154 | 0.073 | 0.317 | 0.092 | 0.081 | 0.271 | 0.338 | 0.208 |
|  | $(0.045)$ | $(0.074)$ | $(0.056)$ | $(0.080)$ | $(0.038)$ | $(0.040)$ | $(0.076)$ | $(0.146)$ | $(0.055)$ |
| Obs | 2,779 | 3,487 | 1,457 | 999 | 2,811 | 5,778 | 879 | 243 | 353 |
| Clusters | 190 | 183 | 89 | 101 | 182 | 215 | 106 | 35 | 53 |
| Mean | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.22 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.23 |

The unit of analysis is the individual. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-24: Public Opinion: No Ho Chi Minh City

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gvt. | Local | Knows Vilg | LTT | Natl. Gvt. |  | People | Active in | People |
|  | Responsive | Officials | Admin. | Fairly | Performs | Man. Econ. | Respons. | Interest | Decide |
|  | Citizens | Successful | Struct. Well | Administ. | Poorly | Poorly | Comm. Life | Group | SDP |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.110 | 0.170 | 0.096 | 0.350 | 0.093 | 0.117 | 0.225 | 0.395 | 0.222 |
|  | $(0.041)$ | $(0.077)$ | $(0.046)$ | $(0.075)$ | $(0.042)$ | $(0.033)$ | $(0.073)$ | $(0.146)$ | $(0.052)$ |
| Obs | 1,590 | 1,750 | 335 | 999 | 1,432 | 2,558 | 532 | 243 | 353 |
| Clusters | 141 | 132 | 43 | 101 | 131 | 160 | 70 | 35 | 53 |
| Mean | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.23 |

The unit of analysis is the individual. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-25: Public Opinion: No Provincial Capitals

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gvt. | Local | Knows Vilg | LTT | Natl. Gvt. |  | People | Active in | People |
|  | Responsive | Officials | Admin. | Fairly | Performs | Man. Econ. | Respons. | Interest | Decide |
|  | Citizens | Successful | Struct. Well | Administ. | Poorly | Poorly | Comm. Life | Group | SDP |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.094 | 0.152 | 0.077 | 0.350 | 0.062 | 0.110 | 0.198 | 0.395 | 0.222 |
|  | $(0.042)$ | $(0.080)$ | $(0.049)$ | $(0.075)$ | $(0.040)$ | $(0.033)$ | $(0.075)$ | $(0.146)$ | $(0.052)$ |
| Obs | 1,316 | 1,273 | 235 | 999 | 1,065 | 2,258 | 432 | 243 | 353 |
| Clusters | 141 | 128 | 39 | 101 | 127 | 160 | 66 | 35 | 53 |
| Mean | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.23 |

The unit of analysis is the individual. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-26: Current Outcomes: No Urban Ho Chi Minh City

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contributed | Share | Years Schooling |  |  |  |
|  | to Charity | Communes | Cohort |  |  |  |
|  | Fund | Lower Sec. | $>25$ | $25-40$ | $40-60$ | $>60$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.122 | 0.310 | 0.970 | 0.886 | 1.045 | 1.044 |
|  | $(0.032)$ | $(0.076)$ | $(0.201)$ | $(0.195)$ | $(0.244)$ | $(0.240)$ |
| Obs | 4,689 | 112 | 33,000 | 14,186 | 13,353 | 5,461 |
| Clusters | 362 | 112 | 365 | 364 | 365 | 354 |
| Mean | 0.69 | 0.79 | 7.28 | 8.26 | 7.50 | 4.16 |

The unit of analysis is the household, district, or individual. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Columns (1) and (3) through (6) include year fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-27: Current Outcomes: No Ho Chi Minh Province

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contributed | Share | Years Schooling |  |  |  |
|  | to Charity | Communes | Cohort |  |  |  |
|  | Fund | Lower Sec. | $>25$ | $25-40$ | $40-60$ | $>60$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.060 | 0.113 | 1.847 | 1.857 | 2.019 | 1.628 |
|  | $(0.051)$ | $(0.059)$ | $(0.334)$ | $(0.342)$ | $(0.411)$ | $(0.350)$ |
| Obs | 3,448 | 100 | 23,420 | 9,939 | 9,520 | 3,961 |
| Clusters | 258 | 100 | 260 | 259 | 260 | 250 |
| Mean | 0.66 | 0.77 | 6.79 | 7.82 | 7.02 | 3.63 |

The unit of analysis is the household, district, or individual. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Columns (1) and (3) through (6) include year fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-28: Current Outcomes: No Provincial Capitals

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contributed | Share | Years Schooling |  |  |  |
|  | to Charity | Communes | Cohort |  |  |  |
|  | Fund | Lower Sec. | $>25$ | $25-40$ | $40-60$ | $>60$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Dai Viet | 0.132 | 0.334 | 0.692 | 0.577 | 0.748 | 0.769 |
|  | $(0.036)$ | $(0.081)$ | $(0.211)$ | $(0.200)$ | $(0.257)$ | $(0.274)$ |
| Obs | 3,893 | 106 | 27,545 | 11,861 | 11,054 | 4,630 |
| Clusters | 312 | 106 | 314 | 314 | 314 | 304 |
| Mean | 0.68 | 0.80 | 7.06 | 8.05 | 7.23 | 4.06 |

The unit of analysis is the household, district, or individual. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Columns (1) and (3) through (6) include year fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-29: The Vietnam War: Controlling for Population


The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-30: The Vietnam War: No Ho Chi Minh City


The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-31: The Vietnam War: No Provincial Capitals


The unit of analysis is the hamlet or village. All regressions include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-32: Additional Mechanisms: No Ho Chi Minh City

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agric. H.H. <br> (1) | Agric. <br> Land <br> Size <br> (2) | Main <br> Job in Industry <br> (3) | Annual <br> (4) | Share <br> Perennia <br> Land Cer <br> (5) | Residential d <br> (6) | H.H. <br> Interest <br> Expenses <br> (7) | Employed Informal Sector (8) |
| Dai Viet | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.184 \\ & (0.035) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.010 \\ & (0.111) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.020 \\ & (0.023) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.118 \\ (0.041) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.125 \\ & (0.049) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.218 \\ & (0.064) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.113 \\ (0.031) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.070 \\ & (0.022) \end{aligned}$ |
| Obs | 13,205 | 4,471 | 16,518 | 176 | 173 | 170 | 3,590 | 16,504 |
| Clusters | 365 | 270 | 365 | 131 | 129 | 128 | 203 | 365 |
| Mean | 0.28 | 0.88 | 0.25 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.26 | 0.64 |

The unit of analysis is the household, individual, or commune. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, year fixed effects, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-33: Additional Mechanisms: No Ho Chi Minh Province

|  |  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Agric. | Main | Share |  |  |  | H.H. |
|  | Agric. | Land | Job in | Annual | Perennial | Residential | Interest | Informal |
|  | H.H. | Size | Industry |  | Land Certified |  | Expenses | Sector |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |
| Dai Viet | -0.218 | -0.006 | 0.016 | -0.271 | -0.210 | -0.230 | -0.155 | -0.073 |
|  | $(0.063)$ | $(0.177)$ | $(0.042)$ | $(0.127)$ | $(0.116)$ | $(0.122)$ | $(0.053)$ | $(0.041)$ |
| Obs | 9,550 | 4,223 | 12,197 | 161 | 160 | 157 | 2,662 | 12,183 |
| Clusters | 260 | 234 | 260 | 116 | 116 | 115 | 152 | 260 |
| Mean | 0.38 | 0.90 | 0.25 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.31 | 0.69 |

The unit of analysis is the household, individual, or commune. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, year fixed effects, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-34: Additional Mechanisms: No Provincial Capitals

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agric. H.H. <br> (1) | Agric. <br> Land <br> Size <br> (2) | Main <br> Job in Industry <br> (3) | Annual <br> (4) | Share <br> Perennial <br> Land Cer <br> (5) | Residential d <br> (6) | H.H. <br> Interest <br> Expenses <br> (7) | Employed Informal Sector (8) |
| Dai Viet | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.169 \\ (0.040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.025 \\ (0.115) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.032 \\ & (0.023) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.119 \\ (0.042) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.125 \\ & (0.050) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.216 \\ (0.064) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.107 \\ (0.031) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.046 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ |
| Obs | 11,154 | 4,223 | 13,975 | 171 | 168 | 165 | 2,963 | 13,961 |
| Clusters | 314 | 232 | 314 | 127 | 125 | 124 | 170 | 314 |
| Mean | 0.33 | 0.89 | 0.23 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.28 | 0.66 |

The unit of analysis is the household, individual, or commune. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, year fixed effects, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors, clustered at the village level, are reported in parentheses.

Table A-35: Foreign Sector Employment

|  | Dependent variable is: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Share Employment |  |  |
|  | Foreign | Private | State |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| Dai Viet | -0.069 | 0.034 | 0.035 |
|  | $(0.039)$ | $(0.040)$ | $(0.013)$ |
| Obs | 640 | 640 | 640 |
| Clusters | 640 | 640 | 640 |
| Mean | 0.17 | 0.79 | 0.04 |

The unit of analysis is the village. All columns include a linear RD polynomial in latitude and longitude, a control for distance to Ho Chi Minh City, and boundary segment fixed effects. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.

Data Appendix Table A1
Definition and Coding of Variables Reported in Table 5

| Column | Original question | Question responses | Coding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | How much is the average monthly family income? | 12 income bins | continuous variable coded at midpoints of ranges, top interval coded at 100,000 |
| 3 | Is a variety of foodstuffs other than rice and nuoc nam (such as pork, vegetables, fresh fruit, fish, etc) for sale at the local market | $0=$ no; 1 =limited quantity; $2=$ ample quantity | 0/1=0; $2=1$ |
| 4 | Are manufactured goods such as bicycle tires, kerosene, and aluminum pots for sale at the local markets | $0=$ no; 1=limited quantity; 2=ample quantity | 0/1=0; $2=1$ |
| 5 | Is there a surplus of goods or foodstuffs produced in this village for sale outside the village | 0=no; 1=yes, small; 2=yes, large | $0 / 1=0 ; 2=1$ |
| 6 | Are there households in this hamlet who require assistance from others to maintain themselves at a subsistence level (friends, relatives, government, etc) | $0=$ none; $1=$ yes, a few; 2=10-40\%; $3=41-90 \%$; 4=all or nearly all | continuous variable coded at midpoints of ranges |
| 7 | Do any households in this hamlet have access to motorized vehicles | $\begin{gathered} 0=\text { none; } 1=\text { yes, a few; } 2=10-40 \% ; \\ 3=>40 \% \end{gathered}$ | midpoints of intervals, top interval coded at 0.5 (robust to alternative top codings) |
| 8 | Is there farm land which belongs to this village which is not presently cultivated | $0=n o ; 1=y e s$, primarily because of bad security; 2=yes, primarily for reasons other than security | $0 / 2=0 ; 1=1$ |
| 9 | total hamlet population | Integer count | We compute quarterly hamlet population growth |

Source for variable in column 1 is PAAS - National Archives Record Groups 330 and 472
Source for variables in columns 3-9 is Hamlet Evaluation System (HES) - National Archives Record Group 472

Data Appendix Table A2
Definition and Coding of Variables Reported in Table 6

| Column | Original question | Question responses | Coding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Do any households have a member(s) participating in non-VC civic or religious organizations (farmers associations, coops; boy scouts, etc) | $0=$ none; $1=y e s$, a few; $2=10-$ $40 \%$; $3=41-90 \%$; 4=all or nearly all | A continuous variable coded using the midpoints of the intervals |
| 3 | Did any hamlet households have member(s) participating in government sponsored economic improvement programs (ag, animal husbandry, fisheries, handicraft, etc) during the past quarter | $0=$ none; $1=$ yes, a few; 2=10$40 \%$; $3=41-90 \%$; $4=$ all or nearly all | A continuous variable coded using the midpoints of the intervals |
| 4 | Do any households have a member(s) active in the PSDF | $0=$ none; $1=<10 \% ; 2=10 \%$ $40 \%$; $3=41 \%-90 \%$; 4=all or nearly all | A continuous variable coded using the midpoints of the intervals |
| 5 | Are self-development projects physically underway | 0=no; 1=yes but none were selected at open public meetings; 2=yes, some were selected at open public meetings; $3=y e s$ all were selected at open public meetings | $0=0 ; 1 / 2 / 3=1$ |
| 6 | How frequently does the village council convene open public meetings to discuss village development plans and projects, local grievances, aspirations, etc. | $0=$ never; $1=<$ once per month; 2=once a month on average; 3=twice a month or more | 0/1=0; 2/3=1 |
| 7 | Are there any organized activities for the youth of this village | $0=n o ; 1=y e s$ | $0=0 ; 1=1$ |
| 8 | Did any hamlet households have a member or members attending any GVN-sponsored public meetings, award ceremonies, etc in the hamlet or village during the past quarter? | $0=$ none; $1=y e s$, a few; $2=10-$ $40 \%$; 3=41-90\%; 4=all or nearly all | A continuous variable coded using the midpoints of the intervals |
| Selected Variables (Not Included in LCA) |  |  |  |
| 9 | Have RD Cadre worked in this hamlet during the past quarter? | $0=$ no; 1=yes, but no cadre currently working; 2=yes, cadre currently working | $0=0 ; 1 / 2=1$ |
| 10 | Do any of the hamlet households have a member participating in "people's groups" organized by members of an RD Cadre Team? | $0=$ none; $1=y e s$, a few; 2=10$40 \%$; 3=41-90\%; 4=all or nearly all | A continuous variable coded using the midpoints of the intervals |
| 11 | Are there households in this hamlet which require assistance from others to maintain themselves at a subsistence level (friends, relatives, government, etc?). | $0=$ none; $1=y e s$, a few; 2=10$40 \%$; 3=41-90\%; 4=all or nearly all | A continuous variable coded using the midpoints of the intervals |
| 12 | Has any welfare assistance been provided by non-GVN voluntary agencies (Catholic Relief, CARE, etc.) to needy or refugee households in this hamlet during the past quarter? | $0=$ no, none needed; $1=$ no; $2=y e s$ | $0 / 1=0 ; 2=1$ |

## Data Appendix Table A3

Definition and Coding of Variables Reported in Table 7

| Column | Original question | Question responses | Coding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Does the GVN collect taxes in this village? | $0=n o ; 1=$ no, tax amnesty granted; $2=y e s$, but unsystematically or sporadically; 3=yes, systematically | 0/1/2=0; 3=1 |
| 3 | Is there an active government village administrative committee | $0=$ no; $1=y e s$, consists of village chief only; $2=y$ es but two or more positions vacant; $3=y$ yes but one position vacant; 4=all positions filled | 0/1/2/3=0; 4=1 |
| 4 | Is the GVN village chief regularly present in this village? | 0=no; 1=no, irregularly; 2=yes but only by day; $3=y e s$, day and night | 0/1/2=0; 3=1 |
| 5 | Is the GVN hamlet chief regularly present in this hamlet | $0=n o ; 1=n o$, irregularly; 2=yes but only by day; $3=y e s$, day and night | 0/1/2=0; 3=1 |
| 6 | How often are National Police or NPFF present in the hamlet? | $0=$ never; $1=$ less than once a month; 2=1-3 times a month; $3=$ once a week or more; 4=regularly present by day; 5=regularly present, night and day | 0/1/2/3/4=0; $5=1$ |
| Selected Variables (Not Included in LCA) |  |  |  |
| 7 | Does the village chief have operational control over RD Cadre personnel working in this village? | 0=no, no RD Cadre; 1=no, no control; 2=yes, partial; 3=yes, complete | 0/1/2=0; 3=1 |
| 8 | Has any welfare assistance been provided by GVN sources to needy households in this hamlet (excluding assistance provided to GVN-recognized refugees) during the past quarter? | $0=$ no, none needed; 1=no; $2=y$ es | $0 / 1=0 ; 2=1$ |
| Policies under the Control of Provincial Governments |  |  |  |
| 9 | Have any self-development projects in this village been retarded because of absence of technical assistance from province or district level personnel? | $0=$ no such projects; 1=no, no retardation; $2=y$ es, minor retardation; $3=y e s$, serious retardation; $4=y e s$, resulting in project abandonment | 0/1=1; 2/3/4=1 |
| 10 | Do GVN technical personnel visit this village in support of local development programs? E.g. agriculture technicians, animal husbandry specialists, fisheries specialists, etc. | $0=$ no; $1=y e s$, but infrequently (less than once a month); 2=yes, periodically (1-3 times a month); $3=y e s$, regularly (once a week or more); 4=yes, resident in village | 0/1=1; 2/3/4=1 |
| 11 | Have officials in the Province Land Affairs Service visited this village to assist in implementing the Land to the Tiller program during the quarter? | $0=$ no; $1=y e s$, once; $2=y e s, 2$ to 4 times; $3=y e s$, more than 4 times | $0 / 1=1 ; 2 / 3=1$ |

## Data Appendix Table A4

Definition and Coding of Variables Reported in Table 8

| Column | Original question | Question responses | Coding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Are government sponsored medical services <br> available to hamlet residents | 0=none accessible; $1=$ yes but further <br> than a nearby hamlet; $2=$ yes, in a <br> nearby hamlet; $3=$ yes in this hamlet | $0 / 1 / 2=1 ; 3=1$ |

Source for all variables is Hamlet Evaluation System (HES) - National Archives Record Group 472

Data Appendix Table A5
Definition and Coding of Variables Reported in Table 9

| Column | Original question | Question responses | Coding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | How Responsive in Responden't Opinion is the Government to an Individual's Problems/Necessary Paperwork | $A=$ very responsive, problems are attended to immediately; $B=$ very responsive under certain conditions; $\mathrm{C}=$ somewhat responsive under certain conditions; $D=$ unresponsive, there are usually long delays; E=very unresponsive, interminable delays, unneccessary red tape, etc | $C / D / E=0 ; A / B=1$ |
| 2 | What do the People of the Community Think of the Performance of Local Officials in their Role of Insuring Security? | A=actively work with the people and armed forced to keep the vc out with good success; B=strive to improve the security situation in the community, but not enitrely successful; C=have some degree of success in improving security situation; $D=$ have little or no success in improving the security situation | $B / C / D=0 ; A=1$ |
| 3 | How Well Does the Respondent Know the Village Administrative Organizational Structure? | $A=k n o w s$ it well; $B=$ has some knowledge; $\mathrm{C}=$ has little knowledge | $B / C=0 ; A=1$ |
| 4 | Do You Believe the Land to the Tiller Program is Being Administered Fairly in Your Village? | $A=y e s$, very fairly; $B=y e s, ~ u s u a l l y ~ f a i r l y ; ~$ $C=$ no, somewhat unfairly; $D=n o$, very unfairly | $C / D=0 ; A / B=1$ |
| 5 | What do the People of the Community Think About the Performance of the National Government? | A=the gov't has performed as well as could be expected under the circumstances; $\mathrm{B}=$ with a few exceptions gov't has performed as well as could be expected given the circumstances; $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{gov}$ 't has performed adequately; $D=$ with a few exceptions, the gov't performance has been inadequate; $E=g o v ' t$ incapable of performing | $A / B / C=0 ; D / E=1$ |
| 6 | How Does the Respondent Rate GVN Performance in Handling Economic Problems? | $\mathrm{A}=$ very poor, has aggravated problems of people; $B=$ poor, has done nothing to solve problems; $C=$ has strived to solve economic problems with some success; $D=$ has strived to solve economic problems with great success | $B / C / D=0 ; A=1$ |
| 7 | Whose Responsibility is it to Improve Community Life? | $A=$ the people; $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{GVN} ; \mathrm{C}=$ both | $B / C=0 ; A=1$ |
| 8 | If the Respondent has or is Participating in <br> a Self Dev Project, was or is the Respondent a Member of a Special Interest Group? | A=involved in self dev, member of group that is very active; $B=$ involved in self dev, member of group ocassionally active; $\mathrm{C}=$ involved in self dev, member of group that is inactive; $D=$ not involved in self dev but knows of people who are involved and are group members; $\mathrm{E}=$ not involved in self dev, not a group member and knows nothing of special interest groups | $C / D / E=0 ; A / B=1$ |
| 9 | Who Decides What Self Development Projects will be Approved? | $\mathrm{A}=$ district chief; $\mathrm{B}=$ village chief; $\mathrm{C}=$ village council or management board; $D=$ hamlet chief; E=RD Cadre; $F=$ religious leaders; $G=$ people of the hamlet; $\mathrm{H}=$ american advisors | $\begin{gathered} A / B / C / D / E / F / H=0 ; \\ G=1 \end{gathered}$ |

[^0]Data Appendix Table A6
Definition and Coding of Variables Reported in Table 11

| Column | Original question | Question responses | Coding | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Were armed enemy military forces present in inhabited parts of the hamlet during the month | $0=$ no; $1=y$ es once; $2=y e s$, sporadically; $3=y e s$ frequently | $0=0 ; 1 / 2 / 3=1$ |  |
| 3 | Are there areas in or adjacent to this village which enemy forces use as assembly areas for operations against friendly activities in the general area | $0=n o ; 1=y e s$, temporary havens; $2=y e s$, small base areas; 3=yes, major base areas | $0=0 ; 1 / 2 / 3=1$ |  |
| 4 | What is the estimated size of the largest village guerrilla unit regularly present in this village (do not include local or main force units) | $0=$ none; $1=$ less than a squad; 2=about a squad; $3=$ about a platoon; 4=more than a platoon | 0/1=0; 2/3/4=1 | A squad consists of at least two individuals |
| 5 | What is the estimated size of the largest enemy main or local force unit regularly present in this village or adjacent villages | $0=$ none; 1=less than a squad; 2=about a squad; 3=about a platoon; 4=more than a platoon | 0/1=0; 2/3/4=1 | A squad consists of at least two individuals |
| 6 | Which of the following most closely reflects the activity of the VC Infrastructure | 0=no known or suspected infrastructure; 1=sporadic covert activity, little or no overt activity; 2=regular covert activity, sporadic overt activity; 3=regular overt activity but not firmly established; 4=unchallenged authority in the village | 0/1=0; 2/3/4=1 |  |
| 7 | Do enemy forces tax goods and produce moving to or from this village | $0=$ no; 1=yes, sporadically; 2=yes, regularly | $0=0 ; 1 / 2=1$ |  |
| 8 | Have friendly external forces operated in this village during the month? | $0=$ no; $1=y e s$, no enemy contact; <br> 2=yes, light contact with enemy; <br> $3=y e s$, heavy contact with enemy | $0=0 ; 1 / 2 / 3=1$ |  |
| 9 | Were any friendly artillery fires or air strikes directed in or near the inhabited area of this village during the month? | $0=n o ; 1=y e s$, once; 2=yes, sporadically; 3=yes, repeatedly | $0=0 ; 1 / 2 / 3=1$ |  |
| 10 | U.S. initiated attack indicator | The data record the coordinates and attacks, undertaken by both small a the data to the hamlet level using a 5 centroid. We then compute a dumm was at least one US-initiated atta | of all U.S. initiated units. We aggregate us around each hamlet le for whether there hamlet x month. |  |
| 11 | SVN initiated attack indicator | The data record the coordinates and attacks, undertaken by both small a the data to the hamlet level using a 5 centroid. We then compute a dumm was at least one SVN-initiated att | of all SVN initiated units. We aggregate us around each hamlet le for whether there he hamlet x month. |  |
| 12 | Regional Forces Indicator | Indicator for whether there was pres hamlet in the | regional forces in the |  |

Source for variables in columns 2-9 is Hamlet Evaluation System (HES) - National Archives Record Group 472
Source for variables in columns 10-11 is Situation Report Army (SITRA) - National Archives Record Group 218
Source for variable in column 12 is Territorial Forces Evaluation System (TFES) and the Territorial Forces Activity Reporting System (TFARS) -
National Archives Record Groups 472 and 330, respectively.


[^0]:    Source for variables is PAAS - National Archives Record Groups 330 and 472

